ENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D O & FEB 2515

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference JMD/DGR/P407377WO				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/GB 03/02609				International filing date 18.06.2003	(day/mont	h/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18.06.2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B08B5/04								
Applicant AWAZEL WATERPROOFING COMPANY et al.								
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this international Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.								
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total o	f 6 sheets, including th	nis cover	sheet.		
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3.	This	repoi	t contains indications re	ating to the following it	ems:			
	I	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion					
	11		Priority					
	III 🗵 Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						nd industrial applicability	
	IV		Lack of unity of invention	on				
	V 🛮 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						;	
	VI		Certain documents cite	ed				
	VII		Certain defects in the i					
	VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date	Date of submission of the demand				Date of	completion of th	ls report	
16.0	16.01.2004				07.02.2005			
Name	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:					Authorized Officer		
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d				56 epmu d	Devile	•		Charles wedderly
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465					Telepho	ne No. +49 89 2	399-8426	£3.7

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02609

١.	Bas	is d	of t	the	rep	ort

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages							
	1-2	1	as originally filed						
	Cla	Claims, Numbers							
	1-78	3	as originally filed						
	Dra	wings, Sheets	·						
	1/3-	3/3	as originally filed						
2. With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Autholanguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.									
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:								
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).						
		the language of pub	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).						
3.	Witl inte	n regard to any nucle rnational preliminary	eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
		contained in the inte	mational application in written form.						
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
		The statement that t in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure application as filed has been furnished.						
		The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.						
4.	The	amendments have r	esulted in the cancellation of:						
		the description,	pages:						
		the claims,	Nos.:						
		the drawings,	sheets:						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02609

5.	.	This report has been establish been considered to go beyond	ned as d the d	if (some of) t lisclosure as	the amendments had not been made, since they have filed (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		(Any replacement sheet conta report.)	aining s	such amendr	nents must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this			
6.	Ado	dditional observations, if necessary:						
m.	. Nor	n-establishment of opinion w	ith req	gard to nove	lty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
1.	The obv	questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- ious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
		the entire international applica	ation,					
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 16,17-78						
		because:						
		the said international application not require an international pro-	on, or elimina	the said clair ary examinati	ns Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does on (specify):			
the description, claims or drawings (indica unclear that no meaningful opinion could in the c				indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 16 are so build be formed (specify):				
		see separate sheet						
		the claims, or said claims Nos could be formed.	. are s	o inadequate	ely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion			
	\boxtimes	no international search report	has be	een establish	ed for the said claims Nos. 17-78			
2.	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotic or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:							
		the written form has not been	furnish	ned or does n	ot comply with the Standard.			
		the computer readable form h	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.			
٧.		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.	Stat	atement						
	Nov	relty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	2,6,7,11,13-15 1,3,4,5,8,9,10,12			
	Inve	entive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-15			
	Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-15			

2. Citations and explanations

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/02609

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/GB 03/02609 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

1. To point III:

the wordings of claim 16 is too vague and too indefinite to allow to formulate a meaningful opinion about the subject-matter of this claim. Moreover, claim 16 does not comply with Rule 6.2.a. PCT.

To point V: 2.

2.1. to independent claims 1 and 10:

Document WO03/022464 discloses a cleaning equipment suitable for cleaning a tank and comprising a suction pipe connected to a pump. The use of such an equipment obviously comprise all the steps listed in claim 1.

Attention of the applicant is drawn to the fact that same remarks do apply to document CA-A-02366079, DE-A-10131419 and US-A-5306351. Moreover, the wording "for cleaning a vessel contaminated with a sludge" does not limit the subject-matter of claim 1 to the cleaning of a vessel since "for" should be read as "suitable for". It is therefore considered that the steps listed in independent claim 1 are the same as the step for use of any industrial vacuum cleaner. Furthermore, the features listed in independent claim 10 are known from an industrial vacuum cleaner. The subject-matter of independent claims 1 and 10 is therefore regarded as not novel.

2.2. To dependent claims 2 and 11:

Using pneumatic means is only one of the numerous possibilities which a skilled person would select if facing the problem to generate vacuum. The subject-matter of claims 2 and 11 is therefore regarded as not inventive.

2.3. To dependent claims 3:

All documents WO03/022464, CA-A-02366079, DE-A-10131419 and US-A-5306351 are disclosing systems wherein the suction is generated by pumps. The subject-matter of claim 3 is thus regarded as not novel.

2.4. To dependent claim 4:

All documents WO03/022464, CA-A-02366079, DE-A-10131419 and US-A-5306351 are disclosing systems wherein the material to be removed (sludge) is previously contacted by a solvent. The subject-matter of claim 4 is thus regarded as not novel.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/GB 03/02609 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

2.5. To dependent claims 5 and 6:

Document US-A-5306351 discloses in claim 7 a dispersion solution comprising citrus pectin. The subject-matter of claim 5 is therefore regarded as not novel. Use of orange oil as solvent therefore appears to be only a solution among others which would fall within the basic knowledge of a person skilled in the art. The subject-matter of dependent claim 6 is thus regarded as lacking an inventive step.

2.6. To dependent claim 9:

Since the groups listed in claim 9 are to be found in a oil tank, and since the systems disclosed in documents WO03/022464, CA-A-02366079, DE-A-10131419 and US-A-5306351 are suitable for cleaning of oil tanks, the subject-matter of claim 9 appears to lack novelty.

2.7. To dependent claims 8 and 12:

Document DE-A-19920047 discloses in claims 13 and 14 means for heating the contaminant by way of warm air. The subject-matter of claims 8 and 12 is thus regarded as not novel.

2.8. To dependent claims 7 and 13 to 15:

The subject-matter of these dependent claims is either known or suggested by prior art documents. It is therefore considered that the subject-matter of these dependent claims lacks an inventive step.

3. Rule 6.2.a. PCT:

Claim 16 does not comply with Rule 6.2.a. PCT.